

O O D O A

OINTERFINETWORK

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF PINKLOCK V2



SMART CONTRACT AUDIT | SOLIDITY DEVELOPMENT & TESTING | PROJECT EVALUATION

RELENTLESSLY SECURING THE PUBLIC BLOCKCHAIN

Audit Introduction

Auditing Firm InterFi Network

Audit Architecture InterFi Echelon Auditing Standard

Language Solidity

Client Firm PinkSale

Website https://www.pinksale.finance/pinklock/

Telegram https://t.me/pinkecosystem

Twitter https://twitter.com/pinkecosystem

Report Date May 20, 2022

About PinkLock V2

PinkSale's Team Vesting & Pre-sale Vesting (also known as Anti-Rug System) is a protocol that asks project owners to lock their own tokens or pre-sale investors' tokens for a period of time, so that there are no unlocked tokens which could make a rug pull possible.

This feature helps projects to establish an increased level of trust with their investors, thereby potentially resulting in growth and long-term price appreciation. It also prevents scam projects from trying to withdraw and sell all their unlocked tokens at or soon after listing time (the so-called rug pull).

PinkLock V2 allows project owners to name the locks. V2 Vesting allows the unlocking of tokens in multiple stages over a predetermined amount of time chosen by development teams of the projects.



Audit Summary

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the audit:

- PinkLock V2's solidity source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY
- PinkLock V2's centralization risk correlated to the active owner is LOW
- PinkLock V2 has successfully PASSED the smart contract audit
- Important owner privileges: NULL

Be aware that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain aren't resistant to internal exploit, external vulnerability, or hack. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, exploitability, and audit disclaimer, kindly refer to the audit.

- Contract address: 0x407993575c91ce7643a4d4cCACc9A98c36eE1BBE
- 🗱 Blockchain: **Binance Smart Chain**
- ✓ Verify the authenticity of this report on InterFi's GitHub: https://github.com/interfinetwork



Table Of Contents

Audit Information

Audit Scope	5
Echelon Audit Standard	
Audit Methodology	6
Risk Classification	8
Centralization Risk	9
Smart Contract Risk Assessment Static Analysis	10
Software Analysis	
Manual Analysis	15
SWC AttacksSecurity Audit	
Risk Status & Radar Chart	
<u>Audit Summary</u>	
Auditor's Verdict	19
<u>Legal Advisory</u>	
Important Disclaimer	20
About InterFi Network	21



Audit Scope

InterFi was consulted by PinkLock to conduct the smart contract security audit of their PinkLock V2 source codes. The audit scope of work is strictly limited to the mentioned solidity file(s) only:

- FullMath.sol
- ❖ IPinkLock.sol
- !UniswapV2Factory.sol
- !UniswapV2Pair.sol
- IUniswapV2Router02.sol
- PinkLock02.sol

Solidity Source Codes On Blockchain (Verified Contract Source Code)

https://bscscan.com/address/0x407993575c91ce7643a4d4cCACc9A98c36eE1BBE#code

Contract Name: PinkLock02

Compiler Version: v0.8.4

Optimization Enabled: Yes with 999999 runs

Solidity Source Codes On GitHub

//Private Repository//

SHA-1 Hash

Solidity source code is audited at hash #1d18e706b67d0d4536fdbf577e2c94564032c182



Audit Methodology

The scope of this report is to audit the smart contract source code of PinkLock V2. InterFi has scanned contracts and reviewed codes for common vulnerabilities, exploits, hacks, and backdoors. Due to being out of scope, InterFi has not tested contracts on testnet to assess any functional flaws. Below is the list of commonly known smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and hacks:

Category

- Re-entrancy
- Unhandled Exceptions
- Transaction Order Dependency
- Integer Overflow
- Unrestricted Action
- Incorrect Inheritance Order
- Typographical Errors
- Requirement Violation
- Gas Limit and Loops
- Deployment Consistency
- Repository Consistency
- Data Consistency
- Token Supply Manipulation
- Access Control and Authorization
- Operations Trail and Event Generation
- Assets Manipulation
- Ownership Control
- Liquidity Access

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

Source Code Review



InterFi's Echelon Audit Standard

The aim of InterFi's "Echelon" standard is to analyze smart contracts and identify the vulnerabilities and the hacks. Kindly note, InterFi does not test smart contracts on testnet. It is recommended that smart contracts are thoroughly tested prior to the audit submission. Mentioned are the steps used by InterFi to audit smart contracts:

- 1. Solidity smart contract source code reviewal:
 - Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to InterFi to make sure we understand the size, and scope of the smart contract audit.
 - Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- 2. Static, Manual, and Software analysis:
 - * Test coverage analysis is the process of determining whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts

<u>Automated 3P frameworks used to assess the smart contract vulnerabilities</u>

- Consensys Tools
- SWC Registry
- Solidity Coverage
- Open Zeppelin Code Analyzer
- Solidity Code Complier



Risk Classification

Smart contracts are generally designed to manipulate and hold funds denominated in ETH/BNB. This makes them very tempting attack targets, as a successful attack may allow the attacker to directly steal funds from the contract. Below are the typical risk levels of a smart contract:

Vulnerable: A contract is vulnerable if it has been flagged by a static analysis tool as such. As we will see later, this means that some contracts may be vulnerable because of a false positive.

Exploitable: A contract is exploitable if it is vulnerable and the vulnerability could be exploited by an external attacker. For example, if the "vulnerability" flagged by a tool is in a function that requires owning the contract, it would be vulnerable but not exploitable.

Exploited: A contract is exploited if it received a transaction on the main network which triggered one of its vulnerabilities. Therefore, a contract can be vulnerable or even exploitable without having been exploited.

3HOLE COLUMN		
Risk severity	Meaning	Security Audit
! High	This level vul	nerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss,
	data loss, ass	et, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
! Medium	This level vulr	nerabilities are hard to exploit but very important to fix, they carry
	an elevated	risk of smart contract manipulation, which can lead to high-risk
	severity	
! Low	This level vulr	nerabilities should be fixed, as they carry an inherent risk of future
	exploits, and l	nacks which may or may not impact the smart contract execution.
! Informational	This level vul	nerabilities can be ignored. They are code style violations and
	informational	statements in the code. They may not affect the smart contract
	execution	



Centralization Risk

Centralization risk is the most common cause of decentralized finance hacks. When a smart contract has an active contract ownership, the risk related to centralization is elevated. There are some well-intended reasons to be an active contract owner, such as:

- Contract owner can be granted the power to pause() or lock() the contract in case of an external attack.
- Contract owner can use functions like, include(), and exclude() to add or remove wallets from fees, swap checks, and transaction limits. This is useful to run a presale, and to list on an exchange.

Authorizing a full centralized power to a single body can be dangerous. Unfortunately, centralization related risks are higher than common smart contract vulnerabilities. Centralization of ownership creates a risk of rug pull scams, where owners cash out tokens in such quantities that they become valueless. **Most important question to ask here is, how to mitigate centralization risk?** Here's InterFi's recommendation to lower the risks related to centralization hacks:

- Smart contract owner's private key must be carefully secured to avoid any potential hack.
- Smart contract ownership should be shared by multi-signature (multi-sig) wallets.
- Smart contract ownership can be locked in a contract, user voting, or community DAO can be introduced to unlock the ownership.

PinkLock V2's Centralization Status

PinkLock V2's centralization risk correlated to the active owner is LOW



Static Analysis

Symbol	Meaning
•	Function can modify state
ds	Function is payable
	Function is locked
	Function can be accessed
!	Important functionality

```
| **PinkLock02** | Implementation | IPinkLock |||
 └ | lock | External ! | ● |NO! |
| L | vestingLock | External ! | P | NO! |
└ | _sumAmount | Internal 🗎 | | |
| └ | _createLock | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| └ | _lockLpToken | Private 🔒 | 🔎 | |
 👢 | _lockNormalToken | Private 🔐 | 🔴 | |
| L | unlock | External ! | 🔎 | validLock |
| L | _normalUnlock | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
└ | _vestingUnlock | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
| L | withdrawableTokens | External ! | NO! | |
| └ | _withdrawableTokens | Internal 🔒 | | |
| L | editLock | External ! | O | validLock |
| L | transferLockOwnership | Public ! | Public ! | lock |
| L | renounceLockOwnership | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | getTotalLockCount | External ! | NO! |
| L | getLockAt | External ! | NO! |
| L | getLockById | Public ! | NO! |
| L | allLpTokenLockedCount | Public ! | NO! |
| L | allNormalTokenLockedCount | Public ! | NO! |
| L | getCumulativeLpTokenLockInfoAt | External ! | NO! |
| L | getCumulativeNormalTokenLockInfoAt | External ! | NO! |
| L | getCumulativeLpTokenLockInfo | External ! | NO! |
| L | getCumulativeNormalTokenLockInfo | External ! | NO! |
| L | totalTokenLockedCount | External ! | NO! |
| L | lpLockCountForUser | Public ! | NO! |
```



```
| L | lpLocksForUser | External ! | NO! | |
 | L | normalLockCountForUser | Public ! | NO! |
| L | normalLocksForUser | External ! | NO! |
| L | normalLockForUserAtIndex | External ! | NO! |
| L | totalLockCountForUser | External ! | NO! |
| L | totalLockCountForToken | External ! | NO! |
| L | getLocksForToken | Public ! | NO! |
| └ | _getActualIndex | Internal 🗎 | | |
| L | _parseFactoryAddress | Internal 🗎 |
| └ | isValidLpToken | Private 🔐 | | |
| **IPinkLock** | Interface | |||
| L | lock | External ! | WO! |
| L | vestingLock | External ! | P | NO! |
| L | unlock | External ! | 📦 |NO! |
| L | editLock | External ! | WO! |
| **IUniswapV2Router01** | Interface | |||
| L | factory | External ! | NO! |
| L | WETH | External ! | NO! |
| L | addLiquidityETH | External ! | 🐸 |NO! |
| L | removeLiquidity | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | removeLiquidityWithPermit | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | removeLiquidityETHWithPermit | External ! | Property | NO! |
| L | swapExactTokensForTokens | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | swapTokensForExactTokens | External ! | • | NO! |
 👢 | swapExactETHForTokens | External ! | 🙉 |NO! |
| L | swapTokensForExactETH | External ! | 🔎 |NO! |
 └ | swapExactTokensForETH | External ! | ● |NO! |
| L | swapETHForExactTokens | External ! | 💹 |NO! |
| L | quote | External ! | NO! |
| L | getAmountOut | External ! |
| L | getAmountIn | External ! | NO! |
| L | getAmountsOut | External ! | NO! |
| L | getAmountsIn | External ! | NO! |
| **IUniswapV2Router02** | Interface | IUniswapV2Router01 |||
| L | removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External ! | 🛑 |NO! |
| L | removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External ! | 🔎 |NO! |
| L | swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External ! | 🛑 | NO! |
| L | swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External ! | 🕮 |NO! |
| L | swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External ! | G | NO! |
111111
| **IUniswapV2Pair** | Interface | |||
| L | name | External ! | NO! |
| L | symbol | External ! | NO! |
```



```
| L | decimals | External ! | NO! | |
| L | totalSupply | External ! | NO! |
| L | balanceOf | External ! | NO! |
| L | allowance | External ! | NO! |
| L | approve | External ! | P | NO! |
| L | transfer | External ! | 🔎 |NO! |
| L | transferFrom | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | DOMAIN_SEPARATOR | External ! | NO! |
| L | PERMIT_TYPEHASH | External ! | NO! |
| L | nonces | External ! |
                            |NO ! |
| L | permit | External ! | | NO! |
| L | MINIMUM LIQUIDITY | External ! |
| L | factory | External ! | NO! |
| L | token0 | External ! | |NO! |
| L | token1 | External ! |
                            INO! |
| L | getReserves | External ! | NO! |
| L | price0CumulativeLast | External ! | NO! |
| L | price1CumulativeLast | External ! |
                                         |N0 ! |
| L | kLast | External ! | NO! | |
| L | mint | External ! | P | NO! |
| L | burn | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | swap | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | skim | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | sync | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | initialize | External ! | 🛑 |NO! |
| **IUniswapV2Factory** | Interface | |||
| L | feeTo | External ! | NO! |
| L | feeToSetter | External ! | NO! |
| L | getPair | External ! | NO! |
| L | allPairs | External ! | NO! |
| L | allPairsLength | External ! | NO! |
| L | createPair | External ! | 🛑 |NO! |
| L | setFeeTo | External ! | ● |NO! |
| L | setFeeToSetter | External ! | • | NO! |
| **FullMath** | Library | |||
| L | mulDiv | Internal 🗎 | | |
```



Software Analysis

Function Signatures

```
07279357 => lock(address,address,bool,uint256,uint256,string)
cb645e32 => vestingLock(address,address,bool,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,string)
e0da83ce =>
multipleVestingLock(address[],uint256[],address,bool,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,string)
ff03adc2 => _multipleVestingLock(address[],uint256[],address,bool,uint256[4],string)
26f08622 => _sumAmount(uint256[])
dbd12743 => _createLock(address,address,bool,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,string)
114fdac0 => _lockLpToken(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,string)
72b4cf29 => _lockNormalToken(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,u
45a0961b => registerLock(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,string)
6198e339 => unlock(uint256)
6cad74f4 => normalUnlock(Lock)
151d8adc => vestingUnlock(Lock)
6dbdeab3 => withdrawableTokens(uint256)
405c6380 => withdrawableTokens(Lock)
b3b9aa48 => editLock(uint256,uint256,uint256)
d3cac885 => editLockDescription(uint256,string)
5a04fb69 => transferLockOwnership(uint256,address)
a57e3141 => renounceLockOwnership(uint256)
a64ae94e => _safeTransferFromEnsureExactAmount(address,address,address,uint256)
fd981c66 => getTotalLockCount()
0d4f581a =>
                        getLockAt(uint256)
08f12470 \Rightarrow getLockById(uint256)
b982922e => allLpTokenLockedCount()
475831c8 => allNormalTokenLockedCount()
a20b8c18 =>
                         getCumulativeLpTokenLockInfoAt(uint256)
7e6706d3 => getCumulativeNormalTokenLockInfoAt(uint256)
                         getCumulativeLpTokenLockInfo(uint256,uint256)
aec640c6 =>
                        getCumulativeNormalTokenLockInfo(uint256, uint256)
76c12822 =>
1982242c => totalTokenLockedCount()
07873ef1 => lpLockCountForUser(address)
aef0e540 => lpLocksForUser(address)
eeacf786 => lpLockForUserAtIndex(address,uint256)
eb80bdae => normalLockCountForUser(address)
da1d8cff =>
                        normalLocksForUser(address)
618df7a3 => normalLockForUserAtIndex(address,uint256)
cd83eadc => totalLockCountForUser(address)
e3676f88 => totalLockCountForToken(address)
332f26d7 => getLocksForToken(address,uint256,uint256)
1f30ffa8 => getActualIndex(uint256)
5100ee02 => parseFactoryAddress(address)
aad3edbc => _isValidLpToken(address,address)
c45a0155 => factory()
ad5c4648 \Rightarrow WETH()
e8e33700 => addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256)
```



```
f305d719 =>
              addLiquidityETH(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256)
baa2abde =>
              removeLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256)
              removeLiquidityETH(address, uint256, uint256, uint256, address, uint256)
02751cec =>
2195995c =>
removeLiquidityWithPermit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256,bool,uint8,bytes3
2, bytes32)
ded9382a =>
removeLiquidityETHWithPermit(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256,bool,uint8,bytes32,byt
es32)
38ed1739 =>
              swapExactTokensForTokens(uint256, uint256, address[], address, uint256)
8803dbee =>
              swapTokensForExactTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
             swapExactETHForTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
7ff36ab5 =>
4a25d94a =>
              swapTokensForExactETH(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
18cbafe5 =>
              swapExactTokensForETH(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
              swapETHForExactTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
fb3bdb41 =>
              quote(uint256,uint256,uint256)
ad615dec =>
054d50d4 =>
              getAmountOut(uint256,uint256,uint256)
85f8c259 =>
              getAmountIn(uint256,uint256,uint256)
d06ca61f =>
              getAmountsOut(uint256,address[])
              getAmountsIn(uint256,address[])
1f00ca74 =>
af2979eb =>
removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256)
5b0d5984 =>
removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,u
int256,bool,uint8,bytes32,bytes32)
5c11d795 =>
swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
b6f9de95 => swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFee0nTransferTokens(uint256,address[],address,uint256)
791ac947 =>
swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(uint256,uint256,address[],address,uint256)
```

Inheritance Graph





Manual Analysis

Notable Information

Smart contract function getTotalLockCount() returns total lock count. This count will include unlocked numbers as well. Total lock count may not reflect the total locked numbers at any given time.

```
function getTotalLockCount() external view returns (uint256) {
    // Returns total lock count, regardless of whether it has been unlocked or not
    return _locks.length;
```

Severity: Informational



Smart Contract Security Audit



SWC Attacks

SWC ID	Description	Status
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	! Informational
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELF-DESTRUCT Instruction	Passed
SWC-107	Re-entrancy	Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation Smart Contract	Passed
swc-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed
SWC-112	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed



SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables Security Audit	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with the hardcoded gas amount	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code)	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed



Risk Status & Radar Chart

Status
No high severity issues identified
No medium severity issues identified
No low severity issues identified
2 informational severity issues identified
Active contract ownership identified
Score out of 100 Compiler Check 100 95 95 Static Analysis 80 75

Software Analysis



Manual Analysis

Auditor's Verdict

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the audit:

- PinkLock V2's smart contract source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY
- PinkLock V2's centralization risk correlated to the active owner is LOW
- PinkLock V2 has successfully PASSED the smart contract audit



Note for stakeholders

Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security.



Important Disclaimer

InterFi Network provides contract development, testing, auditing and project evaluation services for blockchain projects. The purpose of the audit is to analyze the on-chain smart contract source code and to provide a basic overview of the project. **This report should not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purpose without InterFi's prior written consent.**

InterFi provides the easy-to-understand assessment of the project, and the smart contract (otherwise known as the source code). The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as enough assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have used all the data at our disposal to provide the transparent analysis, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Be aware that smart contracts deployed on a blockchain aren't resistant to external vulnerability, or a hack. Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security. Therefore, InterFi does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

This report should not be considered as an endorsement or disapproval of any project or team.

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment advice, financial advice, trading advice, or any other sort of advice and you should not treat any of the report's content as such. Do conduct your due diligence and consult your financial advisor before making any investment decisions.



About InterFi Network

InterFi Network provides intelligent blockchain solutions. InterFi is developing an ecosystem that is seamless and responsive. Some of our services: Blockchain Security, Token Launchpad, NFT Marketplace, etc. InterFi's mission is to interconnect multiple services like Blockchain Security, DeFi, Gaming, and Marketplace under one ecosystem that is seamless, multi-chain compatible, scalable, secure, fast, responsive, and easy to use.

InterFi is built by a decentralized team of UI experts, contributors, engineers, and enthusiasts from all over the world. Our team currently consists of 6+ core team members, and 10+ casual contributors. InterFi provides manual, static, and automatic smart contract analysis, to ensure that project is checked against known attacks and potential vulnerabilities.

To learn more, visit https://interfi.network

To view our audit portfolio, visit https://github.com/interfinetwork

To book an audit, message https://t.me/interfiaudits



